1-String B_2-VPG Representation of Planar Graphs*

Therese Biedl[1] **and Martin Derka**[1]

1 **David R. Cheriton School of Computer Science, University of Waterloo**
200 University Ave W, Waterloo, ON N2L 3G1, Canada
{biedl,mderka}@uwaterloo.ca

Abstract

In this paper, we prove that every planar graph has a 1-string B_2-VPG representation—a string representation using paths in a rectangular grid that contain at most two bends. Furthermore, two paths representing vertices u, v intersect precisely once whenever there is an edge between u and v. We also show that only a subset of the possible curve shapes is necessary to represent 4-connected planar graphs.

1998 ACM Subject Classification I.3.5 Computational Geometry and Object Modeling

Keywords and phrases Graph drawing, string graphs, VPG graphs, planar graphs

Digital Object Identifier 10.4230/LIPIcs.xxx.yyy.p

arXiv:1411.7277v2 [cs.CG] 3 Dec 2015

1 Preliminaries

One way of representing graphs is to assign to every vertex a curve so that two curves cross if and only if there is an edge between the respective vertices. Here, two curves $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}$ *cross* means that they share a point s internal to both of them and the boundary of a sufficiently small closed disk around s is crossed by $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}$ (in this order). Such a representation of graphs using crossing curves is referred to as a *string representation*, and graphs that can be represented in this way are called *string graphs*.

In 1976, Ehrlich, Even and Tarjan showed that every planar graph has a string representation [8]. It is only natural to ask if this result holds if one is restricted to using only some "nice" types of curves. In 1984, Scheinerman conjectured that all planar graphs can be represented as intersection graphs of line segments [12]. This was proved first for bipartite planar graphs [7, 10] with the strengthening that every segment is vertical or horizontal. The result was extended to triangle-free planar graphs, which can be represented by line segments with at most three distinct slopes [6].

Since Scheinerman's conjecture seemed difficult to prove for all planar graphs, interest arose in possible relaxations. Note that any two line segments can intersect at most once. Define 1-String to be the class of graphs that are intersection graphs of curves (of arbitrary shape) that intersect at most once. We also say that graphs in this class have a 1-*string representation*. The original construction of string representations for planar graphs given in [8] requires curves to cross multiple times. In 2007, Chalopin, Gonçalves and Ochem showed that every planar graph is in 1-String [3, 4]. With respect to Scheinerman's conjecture, while the argument of [3, 4] shows that the prescribed number of intersections can be achieved, it provides no idea on the complexity of curves that is required.

* Research supported by NSERC. The second author was supported by the Vanier CGS. A preliminary version appeared at the *Symposium on Computational Geometry 2015*.

© Therese Biedl and Martin Derka;
licensed under Creative Commons License CC-BY

Conference title on which this volume is based on.
Editors: Billy Editor and Bill Editors; pp. 1–28

Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics
Schloss Dagstuhl – Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, Dagstuhl Publishing, Germany

Another way of restricting curves in string representations is to require them to be *orthogonal*, i.e., to be paths in a grid. Call a graph a *VPG-graph* (as in "Vertex-intersection graph of Paths in a Grid") if it has a string representation with orthogonal curves. It is easy to see that all planar graphs are VPG-graphs (e.g. by generalizing the construction of Ehrlich, Even and Tarjan). For bipartite planar graphs, curves can even be required to have no bends [7, 10]. For arbitrary planar graphs, bends are required in orthogonal curves. Recently, Chaplick and Ueckerdt showed that two bends per curve always suffice [5]. Let B_2-*VPG* be the graphs that have a string representation where curves are orthogonal and have at most two bends; the result in [5] then states that planar graphs are in B_2-VPG. Unfortunately, in Chaplick and Ueckerdt's construction, curves may cross each other twice, and so it does not prove that planar graphs are in 1-String.

The conjecture of Scheinerman remained open until 2009 when it was proved true by Chalopin and Gonçalves [2].

Our Results: In this paper, we show that every planar graph has a string representation that simultaneously satisfies the requirements for 1-String (any two curves cross at most once) and the requirements for B_2-VPG (any curve is orthogonal and has at most two bends). Our result hence re-proves, in one construction, the results by Chalopin et al. [3, 4] and the result by Chaplick and Ueckerdt [5].

▶ **Theorem 1.** *Every planar graph has a* 1*-string* B_2*-VPG representation.*

In addition to Theorem 1, we show that for 4-connected planar graphs, only a subset of orthogonal curves with 2 bends is needed:

▶ **Theorem 2.** *Every* 4*-connected planar graph has a* 1*-string* B_2*-VPG representation where all curves have a shape of C or Z (including their horizontal mirror images).*

Our approach is inspired by the construction of 1-string representations from 2007 [3, 4]. The authors proved the result in two steps. First, they showed that triangulations without separating triangles admit 1-string representations. By induction on the number of separating triangles, they then showed that a 1-string representation exists for any planar triangulation, and consequently for any planar graph.

In order to show that triangulations without separating triangles have 1-string representations, Chalopin et al. [4] used a method inspired by Whitney's proof that 4-connected planar graphs are Hamiltonian [13]. Asano, Saito and Kikuchi later improved Whitney's technique and simplified his proof [1]. Our paper uses the same approach as [4], but borrows ideas from [1] and develops them further to reduce the number of cases.

2 Definitions and Basic Results

Let us begin with a formal definition of a 1-*string* B_2*-VPG representation.*

▶ **Definition 3** (1-string B_2-VPG representation)**.** A graph G has a *1-string* B_2*-VPG representation* if every vertex v of G can be represented by a curve $\mathbf{v}$ such that:

1. Curve $\mathbf{v}$ is *orthogonal*, i.e., it consists of horizontal and vertical segments.
2. Curve $\mathbf{v}$ has at most two bends.
3. Curves $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{v}$ intersect at most once, and $\mathbf{u}$ intersects $\mathbf{v}$ if and only if (u, v) is an edge of G.

We always use $\mathbf{v}$ to denote the curve of vertex v, and write $\mathbf{v}^R$ if the representation R is not clear from the context. We also often omit "1-string B_2-VPG" since we do not consider any other representations.

Our technique for constructing representations of a graph uses an intermediate step referred to as a "partial 1-string B_2-VPG representation of a W-triangulation that satisfies the chord condition with respect to three chosen corners." We define these terms, and related graph terms, first.

A *planar graph* is a graph that can be embedded in the plane, i.e., it can be drawn so that no edges intersect except at common endpoints. All graphs in this paper are planar. We assume throughout the paper that one *combinatorial embedding* of the graph has been fixed by specifying the clockwise (CW) cyclic order of incident edges around each vertex. Subgraphs inherit this embedding, i.e., they use the induced clockwise orders. A *facial region* is a connected region of $\mathbb{R}_2 - \Gamma$ where Γ is a planar drawing of G that conforms with the combinatorial embedding. The circuit bounding this region can be read from the combinatorial embedding of G and is referred to as a *facial circuit*. We sometimes refer to both facial circuit and facial region as a *face* when the precise meaning is clear from the context. The *outer-face* is the one that corresponds to the unbounded region; all others are called *interior faces*. The outer-face cannot be read from the embedding; we assume throughout this paper that the outer-face of G has been specified. Subgraphs inherit the outer-face by using as outer-face the one whose facial region contains the facial region of the outer-face of G. An edge of G is called *interior* if it does not belong to the outer-face.

A *triangulated disk* is a planar graph G for which the outer-face is a simple cycle and every interior face is a triangle. A *separating triangle* is a cycle C of length 3 such that G has vertices both inside and outside the region bounded by C (with respect to the fixed embedding and outer-face of G). Following the notation of [4], a *W-triangulation* is a triangulated disk that does not contain a separating triangle. A *chord* of a triangulated disk is an interior edge for which both endpoints are on the outer-face.

Let X, Y be two vertices on the outer-face of a connected planar graph so that neither of them is a cut vertex. Define P_{XY} to be the counter-clockwise (CCW) path on the outer-face from X to Y (X and Y inclusive). We often study triangulated disks with three specified distinct vertices A, B, C called the *corners*. A, B, C must appear on the outer-face in CCW order. We denote $P_{AB} = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_r)$, $P_{BC} = (b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_s)$ and $P_{CA} = (c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_t)$, where $c_t = a_1 = A$, $a_r = b_1 = B$ and $b_s = c_1 = C$.

▶ **Definition 4** (Chord condition)**.** A W-triangulation G satisfies the *chord condition* with respect to the corners A, B, C if G has no chord within P_{AB}, P_{BC} or P_{CA}, i.e., no interior edge of G has both ends on P_{AB}, or both ends on P_{BC}, or both ends on P_{CA}.[1]

▶ **Definition 5** (Partial 1-string B_2-VPG representation)**.** Let G be a connected planar graph and $E' \subseteq E(G)$ be a set of edges. An *(E')-1-string B_2-VPG representation* of G is a 1-string B_2-VPG representation of the subgraph $(V(G), E')$, i.e., curves $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}$ cross if and only if (u, v) is an edge in E'. If E' consists of all interior edges of G as well as some set of edges F on the outer-face, then we write *($int \cup F$) representation* instead.

In our constructions, we use ($int \cup F$) representations with $F = \emptyset$ or $F = \{e\}$, where e is an outer-face edge incident to corner C of a W-triangulation. Edge e is called the *special*

[1] For readers familiar with [4] or [1]: A W-triangulation that satisfies the chord condition with respect to corners A, B, C is called a *W-triangulation with 3-boundary P_{AB}, P_{BC}, P_{CA}* in [4], and the chord condition is the same as *Condition (W2b)* in [1].

edge, and we sometimes write $(int \cup e)$ representation, rather than $(int \cup \{e\})$ representation.

2.1 2-Sided, 3-Sided and Reverse 3-Sided Layouts

To create representations where vertex-curves have few bends, we need to impose geometric restrictions on representations of subgraphs. Unfortunately, no one type of layout seems sufficient for all cases, and we will hence have three different layout types illustrated in Figure 1.

▶ **Definition 6** (2-sided layout)**.** Let G be a connected planar graph and A, B be two distinct outer-face vertices neither of which is a cut vertex in G. Furthermore, let G be such that all cut vertices separate it into at most two connected components. An $(int \cup F)$ B_2-VPG representation of G (for some set F) has a 2-*sided layout* (with respect to corners A, B) if:

1. There exists a rectangle Θ that contains all intersections of curves and such that
   (i) the top of Θ is intersected, from right to left in order, by the curves of the vertices of P_{AB},
   (ii) the bottom of Θ is intersected, from left to right in order, by the curves of the vertices of P_{BA}.
2. Any curve $\mathbf{v}$ of an outer-face vertex v has at most one bend. (By 1., this implies that $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ have no bends.)

▶ **Definition 7** (3-sided layout)**.** Let G be a W-triangulation and A, B, C be three distinct vertices in CCW order on the outer-face of G. Let F be a set of exactly one outer-face edge incident to C. An $(int \cup F)$ B_2-VPG representation of G has a 3-*sided layout* (with respect to corners A, B, C) if:

1. There exists a rectangle Θ containing all intersections of curves so that
   (i) the top of Θ is intersected, from right to left in order, by the curves of the vertices on P_{AB};
   (ii) the left side of Θ is intersected, from top to bottom in order, by the curves of the vertices on $P_{Bb_{s-1}}$, possibly followed by $\mathbf{C}$; [2]
   (iii) the bottom of Θ is intersected, from right to left in order, by the curves of vertices on P_{c_2A} in reversed order, possibly followed by $\mathbf{C}$;[2]
   (iv) curve $\mathbf{b_s} = \mathbf{C} = \mathbf{c_1}$ intersects the boundary of Θ exactly once; it is the bottommost curve to intersect the left side of Θ if the special edge in F is (C, c_2), and $\mathbf{C}$ is the leftmost curve to intersect the bottom of Θ if the special edge in F is (C, b_{s-1}).
2. Any curve $\mathbf{v}$ of an outer-face vertex v has at most one bend. (By 1., this implies that $\mathbf{B}$ has precisely one bend.)
3. $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{C}$ have no bends.

We also need the concept of a *reverse* 3-*sided layout*, which is similar to the 3-sided layout except that B is straight and A has a bend. Formally:

▶ **Definition 8** (Reverse 3-sided layout)**.** Let G be a connected planar graph and A, B, C be three distinct vertices in CCW order on the outer-face of G. Let F be a set of exactly one outer-face edge incident to C. An $(int \cup F)$ B_2-VPG representation of G has a *reverse* 3-*sided layout* (with respect to corners A, B, C) if:

[2] Recall that (b_{s-1}, C) and (C, c_2) are the two incident edges of C on the outer-face.

1. There exists a rectangle Θ containing all intersections of curves so that
   (i) the right side of Θ is intersected, from bottom to top in order, by the curves of the vertices on P_{AB};
   (ii) the left side of Θ is intersected, from top to bottom in order, by the curves of the vertices on $P_{Bb_{s-1}}$, possibly followed by $\mathbf{C}$;
   (iii) the bottom of Θ is intersected, from right to left in order, by the curves of vertices on P_{c_2A} in reversed order, possibly followed by $\mathbf{C}$;
   (iv) curve $\mathbf{b_s} = \mathbf{C} = \mathbf{c_1}$ intersects the boundary of Θ exactly once; it is the bottommost curve to intersect the left side of Θ if the special edge in F is (C, c_2), and $\mathbf{C}$ is the leftmost curve to intersect the bottom of Θ if the special edge in F is (C, b_{s-1}).
2. Any curve $\mathbf{v}$ of an outer-face vertex v has at most one bend. (By 1., this implies that $\mathbf{A}$ has precisely one bend.)
3. $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{C}$ have no bends.



Figure 1 Illustration of a 2-sided layout, 3-sided layout, and reverse 3-sided layout.

We sometimes refer to the rectangle Θ for these representations as a *bounding box*. Figure 2 (which will serve as base case later) shows such layouts for a triangle and varying choices of F.

2.2 Private Regions

Our proof starts by constructing a representation for triangulations without separating triangles. The construction is then extended to all triangulations by merging representations of subgraphs obtained by splitting at separating triangles. To permit the merge, we apply the technique used in [4] (and also used independently in [9]): With every triangular face, create a region that intersects the curves of vertices of the face in a predefined way and does not intersect anything else, specifically not any other such region. Following the notation of [9], we call this a *private region* (but we use a different shape).

▶ **Definition 9** (Chair-shape)**.** A *chair-shaped area* is a region bounded by a 10-sided orthogonal polygon with CW (clockwise) or CCW (counter-clockwise) sequence of interior angles 90°, 90°, 270°, 270°, 90°, 90°, 90°, 90°, 270°, 90°. See also Figure 3.

▶ **Definition 10** (Private region)**.** Let G be a planar graph with a partial 1-string B_2-VPG representation R and let f be a facial triangle in G. A *private region* of f is a chair-shaped area Φ inside R such that:

1. Φ is intersected by no curves except for the ones representing vertices on f.
2. All the intersections of R are located outside of Φ.



Figure 2 $(int \cup F)$ representations of a triangle: (Top) 2-sided representations for $F \in \{\{(A,C)\},\{(B,C)\},\emptyset\}$. (Bottom) 3-sided and reverse 3-sided representations for $F \in \{\{(A,C)\},\{(B,C)\}\}$. Private regions are shaded in grey.

3. For a suitable labeling of the vertices of f as $\{a,b,c\}$, Φ is intersected by two segments of $\mathbf{a}$ and one segment of $\mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{c}$. The intersections between these segments and Φ occur at the edges of Φ as depicted in Figure 3.

2.3 The Tangling Technique

Our constructions will frequently use the following "tangling technique". Consider a set of k vertical downward rays $\mathbf{s_1}, \mathbf{s_2}, \mathbf{s_3}, \ldots, \mathbf{s_k}$ placed beside each other in left to right order. The operation of *bottom-tangling from* $\mathbf{s_1}$ *to* $\mathbf{s_k}$ *rightwards* stands for the following (see also Figure 4):

1. For $1 < i \leq k$, stretch $\mathbf{s_i}$ downwards so that it ends below $\mathbf{s_{i-1}}$.
2. For $1 \leq i < k$, bend $\mathbf{s_i}$ rightwards and stretch it so that it crosses $\mathbf{s_{i+1}}$, but so that it does not cross $\mathbf{s_{i+2}}$.

We similarly define right-tangling upwards, top-tangling leftwards and left-tangling downwards as rotation of bottom-tangling rightwards by 90°, 180° and 270° CCW. We define bottom-tangling leftwards as a horizontal flip of bottom-tangling rightwards, and right-tangling downwards, top-tangling rightwards and left-tangling upwards as 90°, 180° and 270° CCW rotations of bottom-tangling leftwards.

3 2-Sided Constructions for W-Triangulations

We first show the following lemma, which is the key result for Theorem 2, and will also be used as an ingredient for the proof of Theorem 1. The reader is also referred to the appendix, where we give an example of a (3-sided) construction for a graph, which in the recursive cases uses some of the cases of the proof of Lemma 11.

▶ **Lemma 11.** *Let G be a W-triangulation. Let A, B, C be any three corners with respect to which G satisfies the chord condition, and let F be a set of at most one outer-face edge incident to C. Then G has an $(int \cup F)$ 1-string B_2-VPG representation with 2-sided layout*



Figure 3 The chair-shaped private region of a triangle a, b, c with possible rotations and flips. Note that labels of a, b, c can be arbitrarily permuted—the curve intersecting the "base" of the does not need to be named **c**.



Figure 4 Bottom-tangling from $\mathbf{s_1}$ to $\mathbf{s_k}$ rightwards.

with respect to A, B. Furthermore, this representation has a chair-shaped private region for every interior face of G.

We prove Lemma 11 by induction on the number of vertices.

First, let us make an observation that will greatly help to reduce the number of cases of the induction step. Define G^{rev} to be the graph obtained from graph G by reversing the combinatorial embedding, but keeping the same outer-face. This effectively switches corners A and B, and replaces special edge (C, c_2) by (C, b_{s-1}) and vice versa. If G satisfies the chord condition with respect to corners (A, B, C), then G^{rev} satisfies the chord condition with respect to corners (B, A, C). (With this new order, the corners are CCW on the outer-face of G^{rev}, as required.)

Presume we have a 2-sided representation of G^{rev}. Then we can obtain a 2-sided representation of G by flipping the 2-sided one of G^{rev} horizontally, i.e., along the y-axis. Hence for all the following cases, we may (after possibly applying the above flipping operation) make a restriction on which edge the special edge is.

Now we begin the induction. In the base case, $n = 3$, so G is a triangle, and the three corners A, B, C must be the three vertices of this triangle. The desired $(int \cup F)$ representations for all possible choices of F are depicted in Figure 2.

The induction step for $n \geq 4$ is divided into three cases which we describe in separate subsections.

3.1 C has degree 2

Since G is a triangulated disk with $n \geq 4$, (b_{s-1}, c_2) is an edge. Define $G' := G - \{C\}$ and $F' := \{(b_{s-1}, c_2)\}$. We claim that G' satisfies the chord condition for corners $A' := A, B' := B$ and a suitable choice of $C' \in \{b_{s-1}, c_2\}$, and argue this as follows. If $c_2 = A$ or c_2 is incident to a chord that ends on P_{BC} other than (b_{s-1}, c_2) (thus $b_{s-1} \neq B$), then set $C' := b_{s-1}$. The chord condition holds for G' as b_{s-1} cannot be incident to a chord by planarity and the chord condition for G. Otherwise, c_2 is not incident to a chord that ends in an interior vertex of P_{BC} other than b_{s-1}, so set $C' := c_2$; clearly the chord condition holds for G'. Thus in either case, we can apply induction to G'.

To create a 2-sided representation of G, we use a 2-sided $(int \cup F')$ representation R' of G' constructed with respect to the aforementioned corners. We introduce a new vertical curve $\mathbf{C}$ placed between $\mathbf{b_{s-1}}$ and $\mathbf{c_2}$ below R'. Add a bend at the upper end of $\mathbf{C}$ and extend it leftwards or rightwards. If the special edge e exists, then extend $\mathbf{C}$ until it hits the curve of the other endpoint of e; else extend it only far enough to allow for the creation of the private region. See also Figure 5.



Figure 5 Case 1: 2-sided construction if C has degree 2 and (left) $F = \{\}$, (middle) $F = \{(C, c_2)\}$ and (right) $F = \{(b_{s-1}, C)\}$.

3.2 G has a chord incident to C

We may (after applying the reversal trick) assume that the special edge, if it exists, is (C, b_{s-1}).

By the chord condition, the chord incident to C has the form (C, a_i) for some $1 < i < r$. The graph G can be split along the chord (C, a_i) into two graphs G_1 and G_2. Both G_1 and G_2 are bounded by simple cycles, hence they are triangulated disks. No edges were added, so neither G_1 nor G_2 contains a separating triangle. So both of them are W-triangulations.



Figure 6 Case 2(a): Constructing an $(int \cup (C, b_{s-1}))$ representation when C is incident to a chord, in 2-sided (middle) and 3-sided (right) layout.

We select (C, A, a_i) as corners for G_1 and (a_i, B, C) as corners for G_2 and can easily verify that G_1 and G_2 satisfy the chord condition with respect to those corners:

- G_1 has no chords on P_{Aa_i} or P_{CA} as they would violate the chord condition in G. There is no chord on P_{a_iC} as it is a single edge.
- G_2 has no chords on P_{a_iB} or P_{BC} as they would violate the chord condition in G. There is no chord on P_{a_iC} as it is a single edge.

Inductively construct a 2-sided $(int \cup (C, a_i))$ representation R_1 of G_1 and a 2-sided $(int \cup F)$ representation R_2 of G_2, both with the aforementioned corners. Note that $\mathbf{C}^{R_2}$ and $\mathbf{a_i}^{R_2}$ are on the bottom side of R_2 with $\mathbf{C}^{R_2}$ to the left of $\mathbf{a_i}^{R_2}$.

Rotate R_1 by 180°, and translate it so that it is below R_2 with $\mathbf{a_i}^{R_1}$ in the same column as $\mathbf{a_i}^{R_2}$. Stretch R_1 and R_2 horizontally as needed until $\mathbf{C}^{R_1}$ is in the same column as $\mathbf{C}^{R_2}$. Then $\mathbf{a_i}^{R}$ and $\mathbf{C}^{R}$ for $R \in \{R_1, R_2\}$ can each be unified without adding bends by adding vertical segments. The curves of outer-face vertices of G then cross (after suitable lengthening) the bounding box in the required order. See also Figure 6.

Every interior face f of G is contained in G_1 or G_2 and hence has a private region in R_1 or R_2. As our construction does not make any changes inside the bounding boxes of R_1 and R_2, the private region of f is contained in R as well.

3.3 G has no chords incident to C and $deg(C) \geq 3$

We may (after applying the reversal trick) assume that the special edge, if it exists, is (C, c_2).

In this case we split G in a more complicated fashion illustrated in Figure 7. Let $u_1, \ldots, u_q$ be the neighbours of vertex C in clockwise order, starting with $b_{s-1} = u_1$ and ending with $c_2 = u_q$. We know that $q = deg(C) \geq 3$ and that $u_2, \ldots, u_{q-1}$ are not on the outer-face, since C is not incident to a chord. Let u_j be a neighbour of C that has at least one neighbour other than C on P_{CA}, and among all those, choose j to be minimal. Such a j exists because G is a triangulated disk and therefore u_{q-1} is adjacent to both C and u_q.

We distinguish two sub-cases.

Case 3(a): $j \neq 1$. Denote the neighbours of u_j on P_{c_2A} by $t_1, \ldots, t_x$ in the order in which they appear on P_{c_2A}. Separate G into subgraphs as follows (see also Figure 7):

- The *right* graph G_R is bounded by $(A, \overset{P_{AB}}{\ldots}, B, \overset{P_{Bu_1}}{\ldots}, u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_j, t_x, \overset{P_{t_xA}}{\ldots}, A)$.



Figure 7 Case 3(a): Splitting the graph when $deg(C) \geq 3$, no chord is incident to C, and $j > 1$. (Left) $j < q - 1$; G_0 is non-trivial. (Right) $j = q - 1$; $G_0 = \{c_2\}$.

- Let G_B be the graph bounded by $(u_j, t_1, \overset{P_{t_1 t_x}}{\ldots}, t_x, u_j)$. We are chiefly interested in its subgraph $G_Q := G_B - u_j$.
- Let G_L be the graph bounded by $(C, \overset{P_{Ct_1}}{\ldots}, t_1, u_j, C)$. We are chiefly interested in its subgraph $G_0 := G_L - \{u_j, C\}$.

The idea is to obtain representations of these subgraphs and then to combine them suitably. We first explain how to obtain the representation R_R used for G_R. Clearly G_R is a W-triangulation, since $u_2, \ldots, u_j$ are interior vertices of G, and hence the outer-face of G_R is a simple cycle. Set $A_R := A$ and $B_R := B$. If $B \neq u_1$ then set $C_R := u_1$ and observe that G_R satisfies the chord condition with respect to these corners:

- G_R does not have any chords with both ends on $P_{A_R B_R} = P_{AB}$, $P_{B_R u_1} \subseteq P_{BC}$, or $P_{t_x A_R} \subseteq P_{CA}$ since G satisfies the chord condition.
- If there were any chords between a vertex in $u_1, \ldots, u_j$ and a vertex on $P_{C_R A_R}$, then by $C_R = u_1$ the chord would either connect two neighbours of C (hence giving a separating triangle of G), or connect some u_i for $i < j$ to P_{CA} (contradicting the minimality of j), or connect u_j to some other vertex on $P_{t_x A}$ (contradicting that t_x is the last neighbour of u_j on P_{CA}). Hence no such chord can exist either.

If $B = u_1$, then set $C_R := u_2$ (which exists by $q \geq 3$) and similarly verify that it satisfies the chord condition as $P_{B_R C_R}$ is the edge (B, u_2). Since $C_R \in \{u_1, u_2\}$ in both cases, we can apply induction on G_R and obtain a 2-sided $(int \cup (u_1, u_2))$ representation R_R with respect to the aforementioned corners.

Next we obtain a representation for the graph G_0, which is bounded by $u_{j+1}, \ldots, u_q, P_{c_2 t_1}$ and the neighbours of u_j in CCW order between t_1 and u_{j+1}. We distinguish two cases:

(1) $j = q - 1$, and hence $t_1 = u_q = c_2$ and G_0 consists of only c_2. In this case, the representation of R_0 consists of a single vertical line segment $\mathbf{c_2}$.
(2) $j < q - 1$, so G_0 contains at least three vertices u_{q-1}, u_q and t_1. Then G_0 is a W-triangulation since C is not incident to a chord and by the choice of t_1. Also, it satisfies the chord condition with respect to corners $A_0 := c_2, B_0 := t_1$ and $C_0 := u_{j+1}$ since the three paths on its outer-face are sub-paths of P_{CA} or contained in the neighbourhood of C or u_j. In this case, construct a 2-sided $(int \cup (u_{j+1}, u_{j+2}))$ representation R_0 of G_0 with respect to these corners inductively.

Finally, we create a representation R_Q of G_Q. If G_Q is a single vertex or a single edge, then simply use vertical segments for the curves of its vertices (recall that there is no special edge here). Otherwise, we can show:

▶ Claim 12. G_Q has a 2-sided ($int \cup \emptyset$) 1-string B_2-VPG representation with respect to corners t_1 and t_x.

Proof. G_Q is not necessarily 2-connected, so we cannot apply induction directly. Instead we break it into $x-1$ graphs $G_1, \ldots, G_{x-1}$, where for $i = 1, \ldots, x-1$ graph G_i is bounded by $P_{t_i t_{i+1}}$ as well as the neighbours of u_j between t_i and t_{i+1} in CCW order. Note that G_i is either a single edge, or it is bounded by a simple cycle since u_j has no neighbours on P_{CA} between t_i and t_{i+1}. In the latter case, use $B_i := t_i$, $A_i := t_{i+1}$, and C_i an arbitrary third vertex on $P_{t_i t_{i+1}} \subseteq P_{CA}$, which exists since the outer-face of G_i is a simple cycle and (t_i, t_{i+1}, u_j) is not a separating triangle. Observe that G_i satisfies the chord condition since all paths on the outer-face of G_i are either part of P_{CA} or in the neighbourhood of u_j. Hence by induction there exists a 2-sided ($int \cup \emptyset$) representation R_i of G_i with respect to the corners of G_i. If G_i is a single edge (t_i, t_{i+1}), then let R_i consists of two vertical segments $\mathbf{t_i}$ and $\mathbf{t_{i+1}}$.

Since each representation R_i has at its leftmost end a vertical segment $\mathbf{t_i}$ and at its rightmost end a vertical segment $\mathbf{t_{i+1}}$, we can combine all these representations by aligning $\mathbf{t_i}^{R_i}$ and $\mathbf{t_i}^{R_{i+1}}$ horizontally and filling in the missing segment. See also Figure 8. One easily verifies that the result is a 2-sided ($int \cup \emptyset$) representation of G_Q. ◀



Figure 8 Left: Graph G_B. The boundary of G_Q is shown bold. Right: Merging 2-sided ($int \cup \emptyset$) representations of $G_i, 1 \le i \le 3$, into a 2-sided ($int \cup \emptyset$) representation of G_Q.

We now explain how to combine these three representations R_R, R_Q and R_0; see also Figure 9. Translate R_Q so that it is below R_R with $\mathbf{t_x}^{R_R}$ and $\mathbf{t_x}^{R_Q}$ in the same column; then connect these two curves with a vertical segment. Rotate R_0 by 180° and translate it so that it is below R_R and to the left and above R_Q, and $\mathbf{t_1}^{R_0}$ and $\mathbf{t_1}^{R_Q}$ are in the same column; then connect these two curves with a vertical segment. Notice that the vertical segments of $\mathbf{u_2}^{R_R}, \ldots, \mathbf{u_j}^{R_R}$ are at the bottom left of R_R. Horizontally stretch R_0 and/or R_R so that $\mathbf{u_2}^{R_R}, \ldots, \mathbf{u_j}^{R_R}$ are to the left of the vertical segment of $\mathbf{u_{j+1}}^{R_0}$, but to the right (if $j < q-1$) of the vertical segment of $\mathbf{u_{j+2}}^{R_0}$. There are such segments by $j > 1$.

Introduce a new horizontal segment $\mathbf{C}$ and place it so that it intersects curves $\mathbf{u_q}, \ldots, \mathbf{u_{j+2}}$, $\mathbf{u_2}, \ldots, \mathbf{u_j}, \mathbf{u_{j+1}}$ (after lengthening them, if needed). Attach a vertical segment to $\mathbf{C}$. If $j < q-1$, then top-tangle $\mathbf{u_q}, \ldots, \mathbf{u_{j+2}}$ rightwards. (Recall from Section 2.3 that this creates intersections among all these curves.) Bottom-tangle $\mathbf{u_2}, \ldots, \mathbf{u_j}$ rightwards. The construction hence creates intersections for all edges in the path $u_1, \ldots, u_q$, except for (u_{j+2}, u_{j+1}) (which was represented in R_0) and (u_2, u_1) (which was represented in R_R).

Bend and stretch $\mathbf{u_j}^{R_R}$ rightwards so that it crosses the curves of all its neighbours in $G_0 \cup G_Q$. Finally, consider the path between the neighbours of u_j CCW from u_{j+1} to t_x. Top-tangle curves of these vertices rightwards, but omit the intersection if the edge is on the outer-face (see e.g. (t_2, t_3) in Figure 9).

One verifies that the curves intersect the bounding boxes as desired. The constructed representations contain private regions for all interior faces of G_R, G_Q and G_0 by induction. The remaining faces are of the form $(C, u_i, u_{i+1}), 1 \leq i < q$, and (u_j, w_k, w_{k+1}) where w_k and w_{k+1} are two consecutive neighbours of u_j on the outer-face of G_0 or G_Q. Private regions for those faces are shown in Figure 9.



Figure 9 Combining subgraphs in Case 3(a). 2-sided construction, for $F = \{(C, c_2)\}$ and $F = \emptyset$. The construction matches the graph depicted in Figure 7 left.

Case 3(b): $j = 1$, i.e., there exists a chord (b_{s-1}, c_i). In this case we cannot use the above construction directly since we need to bend $\mathbf{u_j = u_1 = b_{s-1}}$ horizontally rightwards to create intersections, but then it no longer extends vertically downwards as required for $\mathbf{b_{s-1}}$. Instead we use a different construction.

Edge (b_{s-1}, c_i) is a chord from P_{BC} to P_{CA}. Let (b_k, c_ℓ) be a chord from P_{BC} to P_{CA} that maximizes $k - \ell$, i.e., is furthest from C (our construction in this case actually works for any chord from P_{BC} to P_{CA}—it is not necessary that $k = s - 1$). Note that possibly $\ell = t$ (i.e., the chord is incident to A) or $k = 1$ (i.e., the chord is incident to B), but not both by the chord condition. We assume here that $\ell < t$, the other case is symmetric.



Figure 10 Case 3(b): Construction of a 2-sided $(int \cup (C, c_2))$ representation of G with a chord (b_k, c_ℓ).

In order to construct a 2-sided $(int \cup F)$ representation of G, split the graph along (b_k, c_ℓ) into two W-triangulations G_1 (which includes C and the special edge, if any) and G_2 (which includes A). Set (A, B, c_ℓ) as corners for G_1 (these are three distinct vertices by $c_\ell \neq A$) and set (c_ℓ, b_k, C) as corners for G_2 and verify the chord condition:

- G_1 has no chords on either $P_{Cc_\ell} \subseteq P_{CA}$ or $P_{b_kC} \subseteq P_{BC}$ as they would contradict the

chord condition in G. The third side is a single edge (b_k, c_ℓ) and so it does not have any chords either.

- G_2 has no chords on either $P_{c_\ell A} \subseteq P_{CA}$ or P_{AB} as they would violate the chord condition in G. It does not have any chords on the path P_{Bc_ℓ} due to the selection of the chord (b_k, c_ℓ) and by the chord condition in G and by the chord condition in G.

Thus, by induction, G_1 has a 2-sided $(int \cup F)$ representation R_1 and G_2 has a 2-sided $(int \cup (b_k, c_\ell))$ representation R_2 with respect to the aforementioned corners. Translate and horizontally stretch R_1 and/or R_2 so that $\mathbf{b_k^{R_1}}$ and $\mathbf{c_\ell^{R_1}}$ are aligned with $\mathbf{b_k^{R_2}}$ and $\mathbf{c_\ell^{R_2}}$, respectively, and connect each pair of curves with a vertical segment. Since $\mathbf{b_k^{R_1}}$ and $\mathbf{c_\ell^{R_1}}$ have no bends, this does not increase the number of bends on any curve and produces a 2-sided $(int \cup F)$ representation of G. All the faces in G have a private region inside one of the representations of G_1 or G_2.

This ends the description of the construction in all cases, and hence proves Lemma 11. We now show how Lemma 11 implies Theorem 2:

Proof of Theorem 2. Let G be a 4-connected planar graph. Assume first that G is triangulated, which means that it is a W-triangulation. Let (A, B, C) be the outer-face vertices and start with an $(int \cup (B, C))$-representation of G (with respect to corners (A, B, C)) that exists by Lemma 11. The intersections of the other two outer-face edges (A, C) and (A, B) can be created by tangling $\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{A}$ suitably (see Figure 11).

Theorem 2 also stipulates that every curve used in a representation has at most one vertical segment. This is true for all curves added during the construction. Furthermore, we join two copies of a curve only by aligning and connecting their vertical ends, so all curves have at most one vertical segment.

This proves Theorem 2 for 4-connected triangulations. To handle an arbitrary 4-connected planar graph, *stellate* the graph, i.e., insert into each non-triangular face f a new vertex v and connect it to all vertices on f. By 4-connectivity this creates no separating triangle and the graph is triangulated afterwards. Finding a representation of the resulting graph and deleting the curves of all added vertices yields the result. ◀



Figure 11 Completing a 2-sided $(int \cup (B, C))$ representation by adding intersections for (A, B) and (A, C).

4 3-Sided Constructions for W-Triangulations

Our key tool for proving Theorem 1 is the following lemma:

▶ **Lemma 13.** *Let G be a W-triangulation and let A, B, C be any three corners with respect to which G satisfies the chord condition. For any $e \in \{(C, b_{s-1}), (C, c_2)\}$, G has an $(int \cup e)$*

1-string B_2-VPG representation with 3-sided layout and an $(int \cup e)$ 1-string B_2-VPG representation with reverse 3-sided layout. Both representations have a chair-shaped private region for every interior face.

The proof of Lemma 13 will use induction on the number of vertices. To combine the representations of subgraphs, we sometimes need them to have a 2-sided layout, and hence we frequently use Lemma 11 proved in Section 3. Also, notice that for Lemma 13 the special edge *must* exist (this is needed in Case 1 to find private regions), while for Lemma 11, F is allowed to be empty.

We again reduce the number of cases in the proof of Lemma 13 by using the reversal trick. Define G^{rev} as in Section 3. Presume we have a 3-sided/reverse 3-sided representation of G^{rev}. We can obtain a 3-sided/reverse 3-sided representation of G by flipping the reverse 3-sided/3-sided representation of G^{rev} diagonally (i.e., along the line defined by $(x = y)$). Again, this effectively switches corners A and B (corner C remains the same), and replaces special edge (C, c_2) by (C, b_{s-1}) and vice versa. If G satisfies the chord condition with respect to corners (A, B, C), then G^{rev} satisfies the chord condition with respect to corners (B, A, C). Hence for all the following cases, we may again (after possibly applying the above flipping operation) make a restriction on which edge the special edge is. Alternatively, we only need to give the construction for the 3-sided, but not for the reverse 3-sided layout.

So let G and a special edge e be given, and set $F = \{e\}$. In the base case, $n = 3$, so G is a triangle, and the three corners A, B, C must be the three vertices of this triangle. The desired $(int \cup F)$ representations for all possible choices of F are depicted in Figure 2. The induction step for $n \geq 4$ uses the same case distinctions as the proof of Lemma 11; we describe these cases in separate subsections.

4.1 C has degree 2

Since G is a triangulated disk with $n \geq 4$, (b_{s-1}, c_2) is an edge. Define G' as in Section 3.1 to be $G - \{C\}$ and recall that G' satisfies the chord condition for corners $A' := A, B' := B$ and a suitable choice of $C' \in \{b_{s-1}, c_2\}$ Thus, we can apply induction to G'.

To create a 3-sided representation of G, we use a 3-sided $(int \cup F')$ representation R' of G', where $F' = \{(b_{s-1}, c_2)\}$. Note that regardless of which vertex is C', we have $\mathbf{b_{s-1}}$ as bottommost curve on the left and $\mathbf{c_2}$ as leftmost curve on the bottom. Introduce a new horizontal segment representing C which intersects $\mathbf{c_2}$ if $F = \{(C, c_2)\}$, or a vertical segment which intersects $\mathbf{b_{s-1}}$ if $F = \{(C, b_{s-1})\}$.

After suitable lengthening, the curves intersect the bounding box in the required order. One can find the chair-shaped private region for the only new face $\{C, c_2, b_{s-1}\}$ as shown in Figure 12. Observe that no bends were added to the curves of R' and that C has the required number of bends.

Since we have given the constructions for both possible special edges, we can obtain the reverse 3-sided representation by diagonally flipping a 3-sided representation of G^{rev}.

4.2 G has a chord incident to C

Let (C, a_i) be a chord that minimizes i (i.e., is closest to A). Define W-triangulations G_1 and G_2 with corners (C, A, a_i) for G_1 and (a_i, B, C) for G_2 as in Section 3.2, and recall that they satisfy the chord condition. So, we can apply induction to both G_1 and G_2, obtain representations R_1 and R_2 (with respect to the aforementioned corners) for them, and combine them suitably. We will do so for both possible choices of special edge, and hence need not give the constructions for reverse 3-sided layout due to the reversal trick.



Figure 12 Case 1: 3-sided representation if C has degree 2.

Case 2(a): $F = \{(C, b_{s-1})\}$. Using Lemma 11, construct a 2-sided $(int \cup (C, a_i))$ representation R_1 of G_1 with respect to the aforementioned corners of G_1. Inductively, construct a 3-sided $(int \cup F)$ representation R_2 of G_2 with respect to the corners of G_2. Note that $\mathbf{C}^{R_2}$ and $\mathbf{a_i}^{R_2}$ are on the bottom side of R_2 with $\mathbf{C}^{R_2}$ to the left of $\mathbf{a_i}^{R_2}$.

First, rotate R_1 by 180°. We can now merge R_1 and R_2 as described in Section 3.1 since all relevant curves end vertically in R_1 and R_2. The curves of outer-face vertices of G then cross (after suitable lengthening) the bounding box in the required order. See also Figure 13.



Figure 13 Case 2(a): Constructing a 3-sided $(int \cup (C, b_{s-1}))$ representation when C is incident to a chord.

Case 2(b): $F = \{(C, c_2)\}$. For the 3-sided construction, it does not seem possible to merge suitable representations of G_1 and G_2 directly, since the geometric restrictions imposed onto curves $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{c_2}$ and $\mathbf{a_i}$ by the 3-sided layout cannot be satisfied using 3-sided and 2-sided representations of G_1 and G_2. We hence use an entirely different approach that splits the graph further; it resembles Case 1 in [1, Proof of Lemma 2]. Let $G_Q = G_1 - C$, and observe that it is bounded by P_{c_2A}, P_{A,a_i}, and the path formed by the neighbours $c_2 = u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_q = a_i$ of C in G_1 in CCW order. We must have $q \geq 2$, but possibly G_1 is a triangle $\{C, A, a_i\}$ and G_Q then degenerates into an edge. If G_Q contains at least three vertices, then $u_2, \ldots, u_{q-1}$ are interior since chord (C, a_i) was chosen closest to A, and so G_Q is a W-triangulation.

We divide the proof into two subcases, depending on whether $A \neq c_2$ or $A = c_2$. See also Figures 14 and 15.

Case 2(b)1: $A \neq c_2$. Select the corners of G_Q as $(A_Q := c_2, B_Q := A, C_Q := a_i = u_q)$, and observe that it satisfies the chord condition since the three corners are distinct and the three

outer-face paths are sub-paths of P_{CA} and P_{AB} or in the neighbourhood of C, respectively. Apply Lemma 11 to construct a 2-sided $(int \cup (u_q, u_{q-1}))$ representation R_Q of G_Q with respect to the corners of G_Q. Inductively, construct a 3-sided $(int \cup (C, a_i))$ representation R_2 of G_2 with respect to the corners of G_2.

To combine R_Q with R_2, rotate R_Q by 180°. Appropriately stretch R_Q and translate it so that it is below R_2 with $\mathbf{a_i}^{R_Q}$ and $\mathbf{a_i}^{R_2}$ in the same column, and so that the vertical segment of each of the curves $\mathbf{u_{q-1}}, \ldots, \mathbf{u_1} = \mathbf{c_2}$ is to the left of the bounding box of R_2. Then $\mathbf{a_i}^{R_Q}$ and $\mathbf{a_i}^{R_2}$ can be unified without adding bends by adding a vertical segment. Curves $\mathbf{u_{q-1}}, \ldots, \mathbf{u_1} = \mathbf{c_2}$ in the rotated R_Q can be appropriately stretched upwards, intersected by $\mathbf{C}^{R_2}$ after stretching it leftwards, and then top-tangled leftwards. All the curves of outer-face vertices of G then cross (after suitable lengthening) a bounding box in the required order.

All faces in G that are not interior to G_Q or G_2 are bounded by (C, u_k, u_{k+1}), $1 \leq k < q$. The chair-shaped private regions for such faces can be found as shown in Figure 14.

Case 2(b)2: $A = c_2$. In this case the previous construction cannot be applied since the corners for G_Q would not be distinct. We give an entirely different construction.

If G_Q has at least 3 vertices, then $q \geq 3$ since otherwise by $A = c_2 = u_1$ edge (A, u_q) would be a chord on P_{AB}. Choose as corners for G_Q the vertices $A_Q := A, B_Q := a_i = u_q$ and $C_Q := u_{q-1}$ and observe that the chord condition holds since all three paths on the outer-face belong to P_{AB} or are in the neighbourhood of C. By Lemma 11, G_Q has a 2-sided $(int \cup (u_q, u_{q-1}))$ representation R_Q with the respective corners and private region for every interior face of G_Q. If G_Q has at most 2 vertices, then G_Q consists of edge (A, a_2) only, and we use as representation R_2 two parallel vertical segments $\mathbf{a_2}$ and $\mathbf{A}$.

We combine R_Q with a representation R_1 of G_1 that is *different* from the one used in the previous cases; in particular we rotate corners. Construct a reverse 3-sided layout R_2 of G_2 with respect to corners $C_2 := a_i$, $A_2 := B$ and $B_2 := C$. Rotate R_2 by 180°, and translate it so that it is situated below R_Q with $\mathbf{a_i}^{R_Q}$ and $\mathbf{a_i}^{R_2}$ in the same column. Then, extend $\mathbf{C}^{R_2}$ until it crosses $\mathbf{u_{q-1}}^{R_Q}, \ldots, \mathbf{u_1}^{R_Q}$ (after suitable lengthening), and then bottom-tangle $\mathbf{u_{q-1}}^{R_Q}, \ldots, \mathbf{u_1}^{R_Q}$ rightwards. This creates intersections for all edges in path $u_q, u_{q-1}, \ldots, u_1$, except for (u_q, u_{q-1}), which is either on the outer-face (if $q = 2$) or had an intersection in R_Q. One easily verifies that the result is a 3-sided layout, and private regions can be found for the new interior faces as shown in Figure 15.



Figure 14 Case 2(b)1: C is incident to a chord, $F = \{(C, c_2)\}$, and $c_2 \neq A$.



Figure 15 Case 2(b)2: Construction when C is incident to a chord, $c_2 = A$, $F = \{(C, c_2)\}$ and (A, a_i, C) is not a face (top), or when (A, a_i, C) is a face (bottom).

4.3 G has no chords incident to C and $deg(C) \geq 3$

We will give explicit constructions for 3-sided and reverse 3-sided layout, and may hence (after applying the reversal trick) assume that the special edge is (C, c_2).

As in Section 3.3, let $u_1, \ldots, u_q$ be the neighbours of C and let j be minimal such that u_j has another neighbour on P_{AC}. We again distinguish two sub-cases.

Case 3(a): $j \neq 1$. As in Section 3.3, define $t_1, \ldots, t_x$, G_R, G_B, G_Q, G_L and G_0. See also Figure 7. Recall that G_R satisfies all conditions with respect to corners $A_R := A$, $B_R := B$ and $C_R \in \{u_1, u_2\}$. Apply induction on G_R and obtain an $(int \cup (u_1, u_2))$ representation R_R with respect to the corners of G_R. We use as layout for R_R the type that we want for G, i.e., use a 3-sided/reverse 3-sided layout if we want G to have a 3-sided/reverse 3-sided representation.

For G_0 and G_Q, we use exactly the same representations R_0 and R_Q as in Section 3.3.

Combine now these three representations R_R, R_Q and R_0 as described in Section 3.3, Case 3(a); this can be done since the relevant curves $\mathbf{u_2^{R_R}}, \ldots, \mathbf{u_t^{R_R}}$ all end vertically in R_R. See also Figure 16. The only change occurs at curve $\mathbf{C}$; in Section 3.3 this received a bend and a downward segment, but here we omit this bend and segment and let $\mathbf{C}$ end horizontally as desired.

One easily verifies that the curves intersect the bounding boxes as desired. The constructed representations contain private regions for all interior faces of G_R, G_Q and G_0 by induction. The remaining faces are of the form $(C, u_i, u_{i+1}), 1 \leq i < q$, and (u_j, w_k, w_{k+1}) where w_k and w_{k+1} are two consecutive neighbours of u_j on the outer-face of G_0 or G_Q. Private regions for those faces are shown in Figure 16.

Case 3(b): $j = 1$, i.e., there exists a chord (b_{s-1}, c_i). In this case we cannot use the above construction directly since we need to bend $\mathbf{u_j = u_1 = b_{s-1}}$ horizontally rightwards to create intersections, but then it no longer extends vertically downwards as required for $\mathbf{b_{s-1}}$. The simple construction described in Section 3.3, Case 3(b) does not apply either. However, if we use a different vertex as u_j (and argue carefully that the chord condition holds), then



Figure 16 Case 3(a): 3-sided representation when $deg(C) \geq 3$, there is no chord incident to C, $F = \{(C, c_2)\}$, and $j > 1$. The construction matches the graph depicted in Figure 7 left.

the same construction works.



Figure 17 Case 3(b): Splitting the graph when $deg(C) \geq 3$, no chord is incident to C, and $j = 1$.

Recall that $u_1, \ldots, u_q$ are the neighbours of corner C in CW order starting with b_{s-1} and ending with c_2. We know that $q \geq 3$ and $u_2, \ldots, u_{q-1}$ are not on the outer-face. Now define j' as follows: Let $u_{j'}$, $j' > 1$ be a neighbour of C that has at least one neighbour on P_{CA} other than C, and choose $u_{j'}$ so that j' is minimal while satisfying $j' > 1$. Such a j' exists since u_{q-1} has another neighbour on P_{CA}, and by $q \geq 3$ we have $q - 1 > 1$. Now, separate G as in the previous case, except use j' in place of j. Thus, define $t_1, \ldots, t_x$ to be the neighbours of $u_{j'}$ on P_{c_2A}, in order, and separate G into three graphs as follows:

- The *right* graph G_R is bounded by $(A, \overset{P_{AB}}{\ldots}, B, \overset{P_{Bu_1}}{\ldots}, u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{j'}, t_x, \overset{P_{t_xA}}{\ldots}, A)$.
- Let G_B be the graph bounded by $(u_{j'}, t_1, \overset{P_{t_1t_x}}{\ldots}, t_x, u_{j'})$. Define $G_Q := G_B - u_{j'}$.
- Let G_L be the graph bounded by $(C, \overset{P_{Ct_1}}{\ldots}, t_1, u_{j'}, C)$. Define $G_0 := G_L - \{u_{j'}, C\}$.

Observe that the boundaries of all the graphs are simple cycles, and thus they are W-triangulations. Select $(A_R := A, B_R := B, C_R := u_2)$ to be the corners of G_R and argue the chord condition as follows:

- G_R does not have any chords on $P_{C_RA_R}$ as such chords would either contradict the minimality of j', or violate the chord condition in G.
- G_R does not have any chords on $P_{A_RB_R} = P_{AB}$.

- G_R does not have any chords on $P_{Bb_{s-1}}$ as it is a sub-path of P_{BC} and they would violate the chord condition in G. It also does not have any chords in the form $(C_R = u_2, b_\ell), 1 \leq \ell < s-1$ as they would have to intersect the chord (b_{s-1}, c_i), violating the planarity of G. Hence, G_R does not have any chords on $P_{C_R A_R}$.
- Notice in particular that the chord (u_1, c_i) of G_R is *not* a violation of the chord condition since we chose u_2 as a corner.

Hence, we can obtain a representation R_R of G_R with 3-sided or reverse 3-sided layout and special edge $(u_1 = b_{s-1}, u_2)$. For graphs G_Q and G_0 the corners are chosen, the chord condition is verified, and the representations are obtained exactly as in Case 3(a). Since the special edge of G_R is (u_1, u_2) as before, curves $\mathbf{u_1}$ and $\mathbf{u_2}$ are situated precisely as in Case 3(a), and we merge representations and find private regions as before.

This ends the description of the construction in all cases, and hence proves Lemma 13.

5 From 4-Connected Triangulations to All Planar Graphs

In this section, we prove Theorem 1. Observe that Lemma 13 essentially proves it for 4-connected triangulations. As in [4] we extend it to all triangulations by induction on the number of separating triangles.



Figure 18 Completing a 3-sided $(int \cup (B, C))$ representation by adding intersections for (A, B) and (A, C).

▶ **Theorem 14.** *Let G be a triangulation with outer-face (A, B, C). G has a 1-string B_2-VPG representation with a chair-shaped private region for every interior face f of G.*

Proof. Our approach is exactly the same as in [4], except that we must be careful not to add too many bends when merging subgraphs at separating triangles, and hence must use 3-sided layouts. Formally, we proceed by induction on the number of separating triangles. In the base case, G has no separating triangle, i.e., it is 4-connected. As the outer-face is a triangle, G clearly satisfies the chord condition. Thus, by Lemma 13, it has a 3-sided $(int \cup (B, C))$ representation R with private region for every face. R has an intersection for every edge except for (A, B) and (A, C). These intersections can be created by tangling $\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{A}$ suitably (see Figure 18). Recall that $\mathbf{A}$ initially did not have any bends, so it has 2 bends in the constructed representation of G. The existence of private regions is guaranteed by Lemma 13.

Now assume for induction that G has $k+1$ separating triangles. Let $\Delta = (a, b, c)$ be an inclusion-wise minimal separating triangle of G. It was shown in [4] that the subgraph G_2 induced by the vertices inside Δ is either an isolated vertex, or a W-triangulation with corners (A, B, C) such that the vertices on P_{AB} are adjacent to b, the vertices on P_{BC} are adjacent to c, and the vertices on P_{CA} are adjacent to a. Furthermore, G_2 satisfies the chord

condition. Also, graph $G_1 = G - G_2$ is a W-triangulation that satisfies the chord condition and has k separating triangles. By induction, G_1 has a representation R_1 (with respect to the corners of G_1) with a chair-shaped private region for every interior face f. Let Φ be the private region for face Δ. Permute a, b, c, if needed, so that the naming corresponds to the one needed for the private region and, in particular, the vertical segment of $\mathbf{c}$ intersects the private region of Δ as depicted in Figure 19.

Case 1: G_2 is a single vertex v. Represent v by inserting into Φ an orthogonal curve $\mathbf{v}$ with 2 bends that intersects $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{c}$. The construction, together with private regions for the newly created faces (a, b, v), (a, c, v) and (b, c, v), is shown in Figure 19.

Case 2: G_2 is a W-triangulation. Recall that G_2 satisfies the chord condition with respect to corners (A, B, C). Apply Lemma 13 to construct a 3-sided $(int \cup (C, b_{s-1}))$ representation R_2 of G_2 with respect to the corners of G_2. Let us assume that (after possible rotation) Φ has the orientation shown in Figure 19 (right); if it had the symmetric orientation then we would do a similar construction using a reverse 3-sided representation of G_2. Place R_2 inside Φ as shown in Figure 19 (right). Stretch the curves representing vertices on P_{CA}, P_{AB} and $P_{Bb_{s-1}}$ downwards, upwards and leftwards respectively so that they intersect $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{c}$. Top-tangle leftwards the curves $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{a_1}, \mathbf{a_2}, \ldots, \mathbf{a_r} = \mathbf{B}$. Left-tangle downwards the curves $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{b_1}, \mathbf{b_2}, \ldots, \mathbf{b_{s-1}}$ and bend and stretch $\mathbf{C}$ downwards so that it intersects $\mathbf{a}$. Bottom-tangle leftwards the curves $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{c_1}, \ldots, \mathbf{c_t} = \mathbf{A}$. It is easy to verify that the construction creates intersections for all the edges between vertices of Δ and the outer-face of G_2. The tangling operation then creates intersections for all the outer-face edges of G_2 except edge (C, b_{s-1}), which is already represented in R_2.

Every curve that receives a new bend represents a vertex on the outer-face of G_2, which means that it initially had at most 1 bend. Curve $\mathbf{A}$ is the only curve that receives 2 new bends, but this is allowed as $\mathbf{A}$ does not have any bends in R_2. Hence, the number of bends for every curve does not exceed 2.

Private regions for faces formed by vertices a, b, c and vertices on the outer-face of G_2 can be found as shown in Figure 19 right. ◀

With Theorem 14 in hand, we can show our main result: every planar graph has a 1-string B_2-VPG representation.

Proof of Theorem 1. If G is a planar triangulated graph, then the claim holds by Theorem 14. To handle an arbitrary planar graph, repeatedly stellate the graph (recall that this means inserting into each non-triangular face a new vertex connected to all vertices of the face). It is easily shown that one stellation makes the graph connected, a second one makes it 2-connected, and a third one makes it 3-connected and triangulated. Thus after 3 stellations we have a 3-connected triangulated graph G' such that G is an induced subgraph of G'. Apply Theorem 14 to construct a 1-string B_2-VPG representation R' of G' (with the three outer-face vertices chosen as corners). By removing curves representing vertices that are not in G, we obtain a 1-string B_2-VPG representation of G. ◀

6 Conclusions and Outlook

We showed that every planar graph has a 1-string B_2-VPG representation, i.e., a representation as an intersection graph of strings where strings cross at most once and each string is orthogonal with at most two bends. One advantage of this is that the coordinates to describe such a representation are small, since orthogonal drawings can be deformed easily



Figure 19 A separating triangle enclosing one vertex and the construction (top), and a separating triangle enclosing a W-triangulation and the corresponding construction (bottom).

such that all bends are at integer coordinates. Every vertex curve has at most two bends and hence at most 3 segments, so the representation can be made to have coordinates in an $O(n) \times O(n)$-grid with perimeter at most $3n$. Note that none of the previous results provided an intuition of the required size of the grid.

Following the steps of our proof, it is not hard to see that our representation can be found in linear time, since the only non-local operation is to test whether a vertex has a neighbour on the outer-face. This can be tested by marking such neighbours whenever they become part of the outer-face. Since no vertex ever is removed from the outer-face (updating the outer-face markers upon removing such vertices could increase the time complexity), this takes overall linear time.

The representation constructed in this paper uses curves of 8 possible shapes for planar graphs. For 4-connected planar graphs, the shapes that have at most one vertical segment suffice. A natural question is if one can restrict the number of shapes required to represent all planar graphs.

Bringing this effort further, is it possible to restrict the curves even more? The existence of 1-string B_1-VPG representations for planar graphs is open. Furthermore, Felsner et al. [9] asked the question whether every planar graph is the intersection graph of only two

shapes, namely $\{L, \Gamma\}$. As they point out, a positive result would provide a different proof of Scheinerman's conjecture (see [11] for details). Somewhat inbetween: is every planar graph the intersection graph of xy-monotone orthogonal curves, preferably in the 1-string model and with few bends?

References

1 Takao Asano, Shunji Kikuchi, and Nobuji Saito. A linear algorithm for finding Hamiltonian cycles in 4-connected maximal planar graphs. *Discr. Applied Mathematics*, 7(1):1 – 15, 1984.

2 Jérémie Chalopin and Daniel Gonçalves. Every planar graph is the intersection graph of segments in the plane: extended abstract. In *ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing, STOC 2009*, pages 631–638. ACM, 2009.

3 Jérémie Chalopin, Daniel Gonçalves, and Pascal Ochem. Planar graphs are in 1-string. In *ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms*, SODA '07, pages 609–617. SIAM, 2007.

4 Jérémie Chalopin, Daniel Gonçalves, and Pascal Ochem. Planar graphs have 1-string representations. *Discrete & Computational Geometry*, 43(3):626–647, 2010.

5 Steven Chaplick and Torsten Ueckerdt. Planar graphs as VPG-graphs. *J. Graph Algorithms Appl.*, 17(4):475–494, 2013.

6 Natalia de Castro, Francisco Javier Cobos, Juan Carlos Dana, Alberto Márquez, and Marc Noy. Triangle-free planar graphs and segment intersection graphs. *J. Graph Algorithms Appl.*, 6(1):7–26, 2002.

7 Hubert de Fraysseix, Patrice Ossona de Mendez, and János Pach. Representation of planar graphs by segments. *Intuitive Geometry*, 63:109–117, 1991.

8 Gideon Ehrlich, Shimon Even, and Robert Endre Tarjan. Intersection graphs of curves in the plane. *J. Comb. Theory, Ser. B*, 21(1):8–20, 1976.

9 Stefan Felsner, Kolja B. Knauer, George B. Mertzios, and Torsten Ueckerdt. Intersection graphs of L-shapes and segments in the plane. In *Mathematical Foundations of Computer Science (MFCS'14), Part II*, volume 8635 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 299–310. Springer, 2014.

10 Irith Ben-Arroyo Hartman, Ilan Newman, and Ran Ziv. On grid intersection graphs. *Discrete Mathematics*, 87(1):41–52, 1991.

11 Matthias Middendorf and Frank Pfeiffer. The max clique problem in classes of string-graphs. *Discrete Mathematics*, 108(1-3):365–372, 1992.

12 Edward R. Scheinerman. *Intersection Classes and Multiple Intersection Parameters of Graphs*. PhD thesis, Princeton University, 1984.

13 Hassler Whitney. A theorem on graphs. *The Annals of Mathematics*, 32(2):387–390, 1931.

A Example

Here we provide an example of constructing an $(int \cup (18, 16))$ 1-string B_2-VPG representation R of the W-triangulation shown in Figure 20. We use numbers and colors to distinguish vertices. We use letters to indicate special vertices such as corners; note that the designation as such a corner may change as the subgraph gets divided further. The special edge is marked with hatches.

One can verify that the graph with the chosen corners (1,4,18) satisfies the chord condition. Vertex C has degree 3, but it is not incident to a chord, so one applies the construction from Section 4.3. Finding vertex u_j, we can see that $j > 1$, so Case 3(a) applies. Figure 20 shows the graphs G_R, G_Q and G_0, and how to construct R from their representations R_R, R_Q and R_0.

The construction of R_Q is shown in Figure 21. The representation should have a 2-sided layout and no special edge. Graph G_Q decomposes into three subgraphs G_1, G_2, G_3. Their 2-sided representations are found separately and combined as described in the proof of Claim 12.

The construction of R_R is shown in Figure 22 (decomposition of G_R) and 23 (combining the representations). Representation R_R is supposed to be 3-sided. We first apply Case 1 (Section 4.1) twice, since corner C has degree 2. Then corner C becomes incident to a chord, so we are in Case 2, and use sub-case Case 2(a) (Section 4.2) since the special edge is $(C, b_{s-1} = B)$. This case calls for a 3-sided representation of a G_2 (which is a triangle in this case, so the base case applies). It also calls for a 2-sided representation of G_1 with special edge $(C, A = c_2)$. This is Case 2 (Section 3.2) and we need to apply the reversal trick—we flip the graph and relabel the corners. After obtaining the representation, it must be flipped horizontally in order to undo the reversal. The construction decomposes the graph further, using Case 2 repeatedly, which breaks the graphs into elementary triangles. Their 2-sided representations are obtained using the base case and composed as stipulated by the construction.

Figure 24 shows the complete 3-sided $(int \cup (18, 16))$ representation of the graph.



Figure 20 Illustration of the example. The goal is to find an $(int \cup (18, 16))$ 1-string B_2-VPG representation of the W-triangulation shown on top, using corners (1,4,18).



Figure 21 Illustration of the example: Finding R_Q (top right).



Figure 22 Illustration of the example: Decomposing graph G_R.



Figure 23 Illustration of the example: Composing representation R_R.



Figure 24 Illustration of the example: Complete 3-sided $(int \cup (18, 16))$ representation.